

именованных сущностей в юридических текстах, что позволит автоматизировать контент-анализ юридических документов. Опубликована версия RuLegalNER с подробной статистикой и демонстрацией полезности набора данных RuLegalNER путем оценки на основе современных архитектур.

Ключевые слова

распознавание именованных юридических сущностей, обработка естественного языка, извлечение информации, языки с ограниченными ресурсами, передаточное обучение, трансформеры

Ссылка для цитирования: Шахин З., Муромцев Д.И., Постный И. RuLegalNER: новый датасет для распознавания именованных юридических сущностей на русском языке // Научно-технический вестник информационных технологий, механики и оптики. 2023. Т. 23, № 4. С. 854–857 (на англ. языке). doi: 10.17586/2226-1494-2023-23-4-854-857

Recognizing named entities in legal texts is a crucial task in natural language processing, with applications ranging from information extraction [1] to legal research [2]. However, the availability of resources specifically tailored for legal Named Entity Recognition (NER) in the Russian language is limited. This scarcity poses a significant challenge for researchers and practitioners working in the legal domain. Additionally, annotating legal datasets with expert human annotators is an expensive process. Furthermore, even with expert annotations, noisy labels can be present due to the complexity and ambiguity of legal texts [3].

In the Russian language, the availability of datasets specifically focused on legal named entity recognition is scarce. Among the limited options [4–6], one notable dataset is NEREL [7] which includes a total of 20 classes, with only three classes specifically related to the legal domain: LAW, CRIME, and PENALTY. However, out of the 56,000 annotated named entity instances in NEREL, only 1,679 pertain to legal named entities. This highlights the need for more comprehensive and domain-specific resources in the legal domain for Russian.

To address these challenges, this paper introduces RuLegalNER, a rule-based annotated legal dataset for the Russian language. The dataset was created using a rule-based program developed by legal experts in Tag-Consulting Company, enabling the automatic annotation of legal named entities in a large collection of Russian legal texts. This rule-based approach alleviates the need for extensive manual annotations by experts, speeding up the dataset creation process. Although rule-based annotation may introduce some noise, it serves as a starting point for subsequent refinement and iterative improvement.

To study the generalization ability of a named entity recognition model trained on an automatically annotated dataset, we developed RuLegalNER, a dataset of Russian legal documents. This dataset is annotated with more than 20 classes of named entities. However, it is important to note that not all legal named entities present in the documents are annotated, and the annotation coverage is sparse. From the initial set of classes, we specifically selected five classes for inclusion in this dataset: Individual person, legal entity, Penalty, Crime, and Law. The annotation process was performed using a rule-based system provided by TAG Consulting Company.

To ensure the evaluation of the model performance on unseen named entities, we incorporated low frequency entities into the dataset. These entities were treated as unseen during the training process, and they were exclusively reserved for the validation and test stages.

The RuLegalNER dataset consists of a sample of 100,000 Russian legal documents. Within this dataset, there are a total of 860 unique named entities. Notably, 289 of these entities appear only in the test set, resulting in a total of 777 occurrences of unseen entities in the test set.

For detailed statistics on the distribution of named entities in each split of the dataset, please refer to Table 1. The table shows the number of unique entities and their frequencies within each portion of the dataset as well as statistics for both seen and unseen entities in the test set. Additionally, Figure provides samples from the dataset, showcasing the variety of named entities present. The dataset is publicly available¹.

We evaluated our models ability to predict unseen named entities, handle misspellings, and different grammatical cases. Objective metrics, such as precision, recall, and f1 score, were employed to assess their prediction power. We employed additional two objective evaluation metrics: Count of Predicted Unseen Named Entities (CP-UNE) and Count of Unique Predicted Unseen Named Entities (CUP-UNE), to measure the models generalization ability to unseen named entities.

In our experiments, we utilized various NER models for our research. The first model, RuBERT-NER, is based on RuBERT [8], a Russian text feature extraction model trained using the Russian version of Wikipedia and multilingual-BERT as the base checkpoint. We fine-tuned RuBERT using legal documents and employed a token classifier to generate probabilities for different classes. An extension of RuBERT-NER, RuBERT-NER-CRF, incorporated Conditional Random Fields (CRFs) to capture long-range dependencies and improve prediction accuracy. It utilized the Viterbi algorithm and a learnable state-state transition matrix for decoding the output labels. Another extension, RuBERT-NER-Adapter, employed adapters [9], a transfer learning strategy, to augment RuBERT-NER without significantly increasing the number of parameters. Lastly, we used a baseline model, BiLSTM-CRF [10], which combined a bidirectional LSTM with a CRF component for NER tasks. This model was pretrained on Russian Wikipedia and fine-tuned using legal documents.

Given the sparse nature of the annotated dataset and to evaluate the performance of these models and make comparisons, we divided the legal documents into segments, each containing 60 words, and filtered out segments that did not contain any annotated legal entities. The remaining segments were utilized for training,

¹ Available at: <https://github.com/zeino8/RuLegalNER> (accessed: 18.07.2023).

